IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA MARTINSBURG

RICHARD SHAWN EDWARDS,

Petitioner.

٧.

CRIMINAL ACTION NO.: 3:15-CR-9 CIVIL ACTION NO.: 3:16-CV-134

(GROH)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Respondent.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On this day, the above-styled matter came before the Court for consideration of the Report and Recommendation ("R&R") of United States Magistrate Judge James E. Seibert. Pursuant to the Local Rules, this civil action was referred to Judge Seibert for submission of a proposed R&R. Magistrate Judge Seibert issued an R&R [ECF No. 84] on December 21, 2017. In the R&R, Judge Seibert recommends that the Petitioner's petition be denied and dismissed without prejudice.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court is required to make a *de novo* review of those portions of the magistrate judge's findings to which objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). Failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo* review and of a Petitioner's right to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Snyder v. Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91,

94 (4th Cir. 1984).

Objections to Magistrate Judge Seibert's R&R were due within fourteen plus three

days of the Petitioner being served with a copy of the same. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed.

R. Civ. P. 72(b). Service was accepted by the pro se Petitioner on January 3, 2018.

ECF No. 86. Therefore, after allowing additional time for transit in the mail, the Court

finds that the deadline for the Petitioner to submit objections to the R&R has passed. No

objections have been filed. Accordingly, this Court will review the R&R for clear error.

Upon careful review of the R&R, it is the opinion of this Court that Magistrate Judge

Seibert's Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 84] should be, and is hereby,

ORDERED ADOPTED for the reasons more fully stated therein.

This matter is **ORDERED STRICKEN** from the Court's active docket.

The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to mail a copy of this Order to the Petitioner by

certified mail, return receipt requested, at her last known address as reflected on the

docket sheet.

DATED: January 29, 2018

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2